

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,983	12/29/2000	Tatsuhiro Ando	FUJZ 18.136	. 9037	
26304 75	590 07/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN			PIZARRO, R	PIZARRO, RICARDO M	
575 MADISON NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2661		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/751,983	ANDO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ricardo M. Pizarro	2661
· The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tineply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity is/are withdensity is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2000 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objectine drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 08) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/751,983

Art Unit: 2661

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bonneau. US patent No. 6,671,258 (Bonneau) discloses Dynamic buffering system comprising: An ATM switch (ATM switch 13 in fig. 1) provided in a network where a non-ATM traffic and an ATM traffic coexist (IP and ATM traffic, col 16 line 64) comprising a line interface (line interfaces are line cards 11 in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2), and a call processor (Queing points QP in switch 13 and or in interfaces 11 wherein packets are queued in a memory or buffer for subsequent processing by the device, at each QP a buffer management system is provided, col 8 lines 31 –37), the line interface having determination means for determining according to a received ATM cell which traffic the cell belongs to (QMM 24 in line interface 11 decides whether to store or discard a given packet and associates each packet with the appropriate logical queue, col 8 lines 46-54 and 62-67, col 9 lines 1-7), queuing means for queuing the cell classified based on a determination result of the determination means (multiple sets of logical queues 15 for each service in interface 11 of Fig.2, col 8 lines 49-51), and read means for reading the cell from the queuing means at read intervals based on bandwidth allocation rates of both traffics preset by the call processor (Arbiters 22 in QP system 10 schedule according to a selected service scheduling scheme, col 9 lines 7-10), as in claim 1; wherein the read means

Application/Control Number: 09/751,983

Art Unit: 2661

read, in absence of one of the two traffics, the cell of the other traffic (when a queue is being serviced by one of the arbiters 22 their corresponding memory block is freed, col 9 lines 11-12) as in claim 2.

Bonneau did not specifically disclose said non-ATM traffic being MPLS, as in claim 1.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the system disclosed by Bonneau is capable of carrying another traffic rather that ATM such as IP flows like TCP and therefore one of the quality of services that could be used for this IP traffic could have been a Multi protocol label Switching system (col 16 line 64, col lines 43-47) with the motivation of obtaining a system that addresses the allocation of buffer space of different traffic flows and that minimizes processing requirements upon packet arrival.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

Conclusion

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/751,983

Art Unit: 2661

(703) 872-9314

(for formal communications intended for entry, for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Ricardo Pizarro** whose telephone number is (703) 305-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Douglas Olms**, can be reached on (703) 305-4703.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group recentionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

7/24/2004

Ricardo M. Pizarro